LIETUVOS IR ŠVEICARIJOS BENDRADARBIAVIMO PROGRAMA LITHUANIAN-SWISS COOPERATION PROGRAMME

CIRCULAR ECONOMY – CLOSING MATERIAL AND ENERGY FLOWS IN INDUSTRY EFFICIENTLY

Improving efficiency of water supply systems through <u>water loss reduction</u> measures

Mindaugas Rimeika (LT) Anželika Jurkienė (LT) Emanuel Ortele (CH)







University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland





Introduction

- Water is the most important resource for human.
- But water is one of most wasted resource on earth.
- One example: water distribution network.
- Non-Revenue Water is a crucial issue. European countries: 7% to 50% Water Loss in Water Utilities.
- NRW management is a complex issue...





Official data (LT) – water losses %

n 1

University of Applied Sciences and Arts

Northwestern Switzerland





Water volumes (mio m³/year), LT





Why reduce water losses?





How to count water losses



n

8,3 mln. m³/year

6,1 mln. m³/year

Evaluation in percentage *Kaunas case*

• 1992 – losses only 11%

University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

n

• 2013 – losses event 29%



... but, lost

water ????





Changes in water consumption





Basic terms and definitions

Water losses: The volume of water lost between the point of supply and the customer due to various reasons.





Real losses

 Volume of water lost between the point of supply and the customer meter due to physical leaks from mains, pipes and valves and due to tank overflow.

Apparent losses

 Volume of water lost due to other factors, such as unauthorised consumption, metering inaccuracies and data handling errors.



Real water losses





Importance and need for water loss management



n 1



Strategies for Water Losses





Pressure management

 Pressure management = Adjustment and control of water pressure in water supply systems to an optimum level.





Types of district metered areas





Operation and maintenance of DMAs





Pressure management

Pressure reduction eliminates excess pressures systematically:

- Number of pipe breaks directly depends on pressure rate
- Pressure management is tackling the problem right at the source and does not relocate it within the system
- Reduction of supply pressure leads to reduction of water losses at every leak in the district metered area (DMA).







Comparison between diaphragm and plunger valve

PRESSURE MANAGEMENT CONSTRUCTION KIT



- Diaphragm valve
- Self actuated
- Interesting for pipe sizes < DN300
- No power supply required



- Plunger valve
- Precise control characteristic
- Maintenance free
- Cavitation free
- Especially interesting for pipes ≥ DN300





Modulation type - time-based

 Time-based - allows higher downstream pressure P₂ to be set for daytime and lower pressure at night when consumption decreases.

| Setting | Time (hh:mm) | Pressure (m) | | |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Set 1 | 0:00 | 25 | | |
| Set 2 | 5:00 | 30 | | |
| Set 3 | 7:00 | 40 | | |
| Set 4 | 12:00 | 38 | | |
| Set 5 | 20:00 | 30 | | |







Development of Water Utility Compass

- Holistic and easy-to-use Excel Tool to support calculation, WUefficiency assessment, identification of measures and action planning.
- Baseline data: data input, calculation of IWA water balance and performance indicators.
- Includes error estimations and calculation (95% confidence limit).
- Situation analysis: water utility efficiency assessment.
- Based on a self-assessment questionnaire.
- Action plan: strategic objectives, pre-selected measures, reporting.
- Learning: general information, benchmarks.



Baseline data

| System Input Volume 3'074'753.0 2.0 [m³*a ⁻¹] [%] | Authorized Consun 2'371'034.0 [m³*a ⁻¹] | Billed Authorized Co 2.0 2'370'064.0 [%] [m³*a ⁻¹] | onsumption 2.0 [%] | Billed Metered Con 2'365'864.0 [m ³ *a ⁻¹] | 2.0 [%] | Revenue 2'370'064.0 [m³*a ⁻¹] | e Water 2.0 [%] | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Billed Unmetered Co 4'200.0 [m ³ *a ⁻¹] | 25.0 [%] | | | |
| | | Real Losses | | | | | |] |
| | | Performance indicator | | Unit | Indic | ator value | Error [%] | Performing grade |
| | Water Losses | ILI - Infrastructure Leaka | ge Index | [-] | | 1.4 | 23.6 | A |
| | 703'719.0 [m³*a ⁻¹] | PMI | | [-] | | 1.3 | 2.0 | average |
| | | Real Losses per service o pressure (w.s.p.) | conn. per m | [I*conn ⁻¹ *d ⁻¹ * H₂O ⁻¹] | *m | 3.5 | 23.0 | В |
| | | Real Losses per service o (w.s.p.) | conn. | [l*conn ⁻¹ *d ⁻ | "] | 208.4 | 22.9 | В |
| | | Losses per main | | [l*km ⁻¹ *d ⁻¹] |] : | 3214.1 | 22.9 | good |

Input:

Estimated value: 25% error

Metered value: 2% error

Computed values:

$$V_t = V_x + V_y \rightarrow E_t = \sqrt{(V_x * E_x / 1.96)^2 + (V_y * E_y / 1.96)^2} * 1.96 / V_t$$

 $V_t = V_x * V_y \rightarrow E_t = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2}$



Situation analysis: Questionnaire & Assessment

| 9. Apparent Losses | Self- | Assessment Efficiency Level | | | Self-Assessment Efficiency Level | | nt Eff | | | Reference |
|--|------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|-------------|--|-----------|
| Issues / Questions | Your grade | Your goal | 1 (poor) | 2 (fair) | 3 (average) | 4 (good) | 5 (excellent) | | | |
| 9.1 Meter Installing | 4 | 4 | We do not expand our meter park. Unmetered areas remain unmetered. | We install some meters in unmetered areas but often do not calibrate and install them correctly. | We install some meters in unmetered areas and generally calibrate and install them correctly. | For most of the unmetered connections we install new water meters. Calibration and installation of the meters are mainly correct | We install new meters at unmetered connections and take care to calibrate and install our meters correctly. | | | |
| | | | Water | Utility Ef | ficiency (| Self-Evalu | ation) | | | |
| 9.2 Meter Accuracy Testing | 5 | | | 1 | . Data & Meterin | g | | | | |
| | | | 14. Pu | blic Awareness | 5 | 2. Network Doc | umentation | | | |
| 9.3 Fraud: Illegal Connections, Meter Tampering, Bypasses | 3 | 13. Managerial Commitment 12. Organisation 13. Water Balance & Perf. Indic. (PI) 4. District Metered Au (DMA) | | | | | Areas | | | |
| 9.4 Meter Reading and Data Transfer | 2 | 11. Equ | 11. Equipment and Budget 5. Active Leakage Co. (ALC) 10. Human Resources 6. Pressure Managemen. (PM) | | | | | ontrol t | | |
| Performing grade [1-5] | 3.5 | | 9 Apparent Losses 7. Infrastructure | | | re | | | | |
| | | Management (IM) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Maintenance (O&M) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Goal Status | | | | | | | | |

VILNIUS GEDIMINAS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Action Plan

1. Define strategic objectives (up to 10)

| Objectives | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Number | | Category | Title |
| | 1 | 6. Pressure Management (PM) | Focus on pressure management improvements |
| | 2 | 3. Water Balance & Perf. Indic. (PI) | Streamline water balance calculations |
| | 3 | 4. District Metered Areas (DMA) | Structure the network properly |
| | | | |

2. Select measures from a list pre-filtered ones (Over 250 measures)

| Objective 2 | 3. Water Balance | & Perf. Indic. (PI) | Streamline water balance calculation | ıs | |
|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|
| Measures | Filtered | Search filter: | | ID | Measures |
| 126 - Compute the con 145 - Determine accura 152 - Establish a botto 275 - Regularly calcula 276 - Regularly calcula | nfidence intervals for your water balance acy and reliability of your water balance m-up analysis te apparent losses performance indicators te real losses performance indicators | | | 275 276 | Regularly ca Regularly ca |

3. Resulting action plan draft

| Action plan | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|----------|
| What | Details | Responsibility | Deadline |
| Objective 1 | Focus on pressure management improvements | | |
| Pressure management | Adjust the pressure in the network by using boosters and PRVs accordingly | | |
| Pressure management | Increase the coverage of DMAs using pressure management and perform intensive funcitonal testing of the system | | |
| Pressure management | Perform pressure management on the whole system and test it regularly and intensively | | |
| Active Leakage Control (ALC) | Use pressure variations to localize leakage and water loss | | |
| Objective 2 | Streamline water balance calculations | | |
| Performance Indicators | Regularly calculate apparent losses performance indicators | | |
| Performance Indicators | Regularly calculate real losses performance indicators | | |
| Objective 3 | Structure the network properly | | |
| DMA | Check boundary valves. Try to adapt DMA borders to natural boundaries. Use suitable meters and PRV's. | | |
| DMA | Keep track of the type of custerms in the DMAs and create requirement lists for each type of customer. | | |



WU-Compass // Self-Evaluation Report // Alytus Water Utility (LT)

n

University of Applied Sciences and Arts

Northwestern Switzerland





WU-Compass – Alytus Water Utility (LT)

University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

- The holistic decision support system allows to prioritise and set up the action plan considering the 14 categories.
- Five categories for water reduction were selected, where the water utility has the weakest efficiency and the highest potential for improvement:
 - Category 4. District Metered Areas (DMA);
 - Category 5. Active Leakage Control (ALC);
 - Category 9. Apparent losses;
 - Category 10. Human Resources;
 - Category 11. Equipment and budget.



Category 4. District Metered Areas (DMA)

- Install appropriate size meter to measure the inflow to the DMA;
- Install logging equipment for continuous flow monitoring;
- Establish some DMAs and start analysing the data;
- Check boundary valves. Try to adapt DMA borders to natural boundaries. Use suitable meters and PRV's;
- Keep track of the type of customers in the DMAs and create requirement lists for each type of customer;
- Night step-testing (reducing the size of the area by closing valves);
- DMAs with minimum night flow measurement and calculation.



Data from Water Balance, Alytus WU (2011)



Annual water losses, m³/yr.

Annual saving potential Eur/yr.







Alytus Water Utility, LT (2011 – 2015)

- WU-Compass Tool helps the user to find appropriate measures.
- Find relationship of water loss components.
- Clarify the individual situation.
- Water Utility found the tool really useful.





Performance Indicators (2011 – 2015)

| Performance Indicators | Value 2011 | Value 2015 | Grade 2011 | Grade 2015 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) | 2.8 | 1.4 | В | А |
| Pressure Management Index (PMI) | 1.3 | 1.3 | average | average |
| Real Losses per service connection [l/conn./d] | 435 | 208 | С | В |
| Losses per main [l/km/d] | 6'530 | 3'214 | good | good |
| Percentage of Non-Revenue Water | 22.9 | 13.8 | D | С |
| Apparent Losses per service connection [l/conn./d] | 60 | 36 | В | А |
| Apparent Losses Index (ALI) | 0.7 | 0.5 | А | А |



Conclusions

- Perfect project.
- Good cooperation.
- New ideas.
- Cross-connection.